



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspic gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/476,253	12/30/1999	JOHN W. WATSON	PC9731A	7551
23913	7590 03/23/2004		EXAMINER	
PFIZER INC			DELACROIX MUIRHEI, CYBILLE	
150 EAST 42ND STREET 5TH FLOOR - STOP 49			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017-5612			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/476,253	WATSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-10,12-18,20-29,31-39 and 42-44 is. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrar 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) 1-10,12-18, 20-29, 31-39, 42-44 are s	wn from consideration. subject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Tuaminar
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	

Art Unit: 1614

Detailed Action

The following is responsive to Applicant's amendment received Nov. 24, 2003.

Upon further consideration of the claims as amended and the presentation of new claims, the Examiner respectfully submits that the following restriction requirement is necessitated. Please note the previous election requirement with respect to the compound is maintained.

Applicant's amendment and the remarks contained therein will be considered in so far as they pertain to the election invention.

Due to the complex nature of the claims, no request for an oral election is being made.

Restriction Requirement

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, 12-15, 28, 29, 44, 31-39 drawn to a method of preventing or treating stasis and pharmaceutical compositions, classified in class 514, subclass 373.
- II. Claims 42, 16-18, 43, 20-27, 44, 31-39, drawn to a method of treating or preventing gastrointestinal disorders and pharmaceutical compositions, classified in class 514, subclass 373.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are independent and distinct. Group I requires the treatment of patients suffering from hypomotility in the stomach, whereas the method of Group II requires the treatment of patients suffering from gastrointestinal disorders concomitant

Art Unit: 1614

with other disorders unrelated to hypomotility. Therefore, the methods of both Groups require the treatment of patients suffering from different conditions, thereby requiring different treatment steps.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a method of treating GI disorders associated with conditions described in (i)-(iii). Applicant is respectfully requested to elect either (i), (ii) or (iii).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 43 is generic.

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid whose telephone number is 571-272-0572. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:30 to 6:00.

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel, can be reached at 571-272-0584. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

CDM

March 22, 2004

Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid